arXiv:1203.4186v2 [math.DS] 24 May 2012

DISCRETE ALGEBRAIC DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

R. ANDRIAMIFIDISOA*, J. ANDRIANJANAHARY AND G. SCHIFFELS +

Abstract. In this paper, we use a duality between $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$, the vector space of the multi-indexed sequences over a field $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$, the vector subspace of the sequences over $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ with finite support to characterize the closed subpaces in $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$. Then we prove that the *polynomial operator in the shift* which Oberst [2],Willems [3],[4],[5],[6], [7] have introduced to define time invariant discrete linear dynamical systems is the adjoint of the polynomial multiplication. We end this paper by describing these systems.

Keywords: Dynamical system, behavior, power series, polynomial operator in the shift, autoregressive module
MSC 2012: 93B25, 14105, 13C60, 39A10, 47A05

*Corresponding author : Ramamonjy ANDRIAMIFIDISOA, Département de Mathématiques et Informatique, Faculté des Sciences, Université d'Antananarivo, BP 906, Madagascar (e-mail : andriama025@refer.mg)

+Prof. Dr. Gerhard SCHIFFELS was at the Fakültat Für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Germany

Introduction

Discrete algebraic dynamical systems theory essentially studies subsets $\mathcal{B}$ (called *behavior*) of the set of functions from a time set T (usually $\mathbb{Z}^r$ or $\mathbb{N}^r$) to $\mathbb{F}^l$, where $\mathbb{F}$ is a field. According to Oberst [2] and Willems [3],[4],[5], [6],[7], these subsets are required to have algebraic and topological properties such as linearity, time invariance, to be closed with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence. The purpose of this paper is to interpret these properties with algebraic structures and to use tools from algebra to prove more properties.

Remarking that the behavior $\mathcal{B}$ may be viewed as a subset of the set of formal power series $\mathbb{F}[[Y_1, \ldots, Y_r]]$, one finds that there is a close relationship between $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathbb{F}[X_1, \ldots, X_r]$ (a "duality"), which allows polynomials to play a great role in defining and describing systems. From computational viewpoint, it is indeed easier to do calculations with polynomials rather than with infinite powers series. The main result of this is theorem 3.4, which gives the interpertation of the *polynomial operator in the shift* as the the adjoint of the ordinary polynomial multiplication (or polynomial matrix multiplication), theorem ; even though this adjoint is stated in terms of categories and functors, it is in fact the adjoint in classical linear algebra.

In section 1, we introduce the basic spaces we are working with : these are the vector spaces $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ and $\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$ which are dual with respect to a scalar product. Then we define the notion of orthogonality.

In section 2, we characterize the closed subspaces in $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$; this gives the basic tool for describing systems.

In section 3, we introduce the basic calculations with power series and polynomials in the algebraic systems framework and prove our main theorem. These lead to the notion of autoregressive modules.

In the last section 4, we define algebraic systems and prove the main properties.

1. DUALITY OF VECTOR SPACES

Let $r \geqslant 1$ be an integer, $\leqslant$ the usual total ordering on the integers and $\leqslant_r$ a total ordering on $\mathbb{N}^r$, such that $(\mathbb{N}^r, \leqslant_r)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{N}, \leqslant)$ in the sense that there exists a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_r : \mathbb{N}^r &\longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r) &\longmapsto \psi_r(\alpha) = i \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

such that

$$\alpha <_r \beta \Longleftrightarrow \psi_r(\alpha) < \psi_r(\beta). \tag{2}$$

Let Ω be the set of all *ordinals*; it can be identified with the set $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n, \ldots\} \cup \{\mathbb{N}\}$, where $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n, \ldots$ are the finite ordinals, with $n = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. The letter ω will indicate an element of Ω. If $\omega = n$ is a finite ordinal, let ω_n denote the set $\psi_r^{-1}(n)$:

$$\omega_n = \psi_r^{-1}(n) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r \mid \psi_r(\alpha) < n\}. \tag{3}$$

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field. All vector spaces will be over $\mathbb{F}$. For two vector spaces E and F, we denote by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E, F)$ the set of all linear mappings from E to F : it is again an $\mathbb{F}$-vector space. For an ordinal $\omega \in \Omega$, denote by $\mathbb{F}^\omega$ the vector space of all mappings

$$\begin{aligned} y : \omega &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ \alpha &\longmapsto y(\alpha) = y_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Let $\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}$ be the vector subspaces of $x \in \mathbb{F}^\omega$ with finite support :

$$\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}^\omega \mid \{\alpha \in \omega \mid x_\alpha \neq 0\} \quad \text{is a finite set}\}.$$

For $\alpha \in \omega$, let δ_α be the element of $\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}$ defined by

$$\delta_\alpha(\beta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

for all $\beta \in \omega$. In other words, $\delta_\alpha(\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ where $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Kronecker's symbol. Then $(\delta_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\omega}$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}$, we have

$$x = \sum_{\alpha\in\omega} x_\alpha \cdot \delta_\alpha. \tag{6}$$

Now, an element $y \in \mathbb{F}^\omega$ is defined by $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r}$ where $y_\alpha = y(\alpha)$. We identify y by the formal sum

$$y = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} y_\alpha \delta_\alpha, \tag{7}$$

meaning that for all $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^r$, the value of $y(\beta)$ is given by

$$y(\beta) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} y_\alpha \delta_\alpha(\beta). \tag{8}$$

This time the sum is finite, thus well defined and given by y_β.
For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}, \mathbb{F})$, and $x \in \mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}$, using the equation (6) above, we get

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha\in\omega} x_\alpha \cdot f(\delta_\alpha). \tag{9}$$

Therefore f is defined by $(f(\delta_\alpha))_{\alpha\in\omega} \in \mathbb{F}^\omega$. Conversely, the element $f = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\omega}$ of $\mathbb{F}^\omega$ defines an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}, \mathbb{F})$ by $\delta_\alpha \mapsto f_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \omega$, i.e

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{F}^\omega \quad x \longmapsto \sum_{\alpha\in\omega} x_\alpha \cdot f_\alpha.$$

The following proposition, whose proof is left to the reader is fundamental:

1.1. **Proposition.** *The mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -, - \rangle : \mathbb{F}^{(\omega)} \times \mathbb{F}^\omega &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ (x, f) &\longmapsto \langle x, f \rangle = f(x) = \sum_{\alpha\in\omega} x_\alpha \cdot f(\delta_\alpha). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

satisfies to the following properties:
(i) The homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^{(\omega)} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^\omega, \mathbb{F}) \\ x &\longmapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{rl} \langle x, - \rangle : & \mathbb{F}^\omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ & f \longmapsto f(x) \end{array} \right. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^{\omega} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}, \mathbb{F}) \\ f &\longmapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \langle -, f\rangle : & \mathbb{F}^{(\omega)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ & x \longmapsto f(x) \end{array} \right. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

are injective (i.e they are monomorphisms).
(ii) The above monomorphism (12) is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

We say that $\langle -, - \rangle$ is a *scalar product* and the vector spaces $\mathbb{F}^{\omega}$ and $\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}$ are *dual*.

For $P \subset \mathbb{F}^{\omega}$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}$, we define the orthogonal $P^{\perp}$ and $Q^{\perp}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} P^{\perp} &= \{x \in \mathbb{F}^{(\omega)} \ | f(x) = 0 \ \forall f \in P\} \subset \mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}, \\ Q^{\perp} &= \{f \in \mathbb{F}^{\omega} \ | f(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in Q\} \subset \mathbb{F}^{\omega} . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Note that $P^{\perp}$ (resp. $Q^{\perp}$) is a vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}^{\omega}$). We end this section by stating the following lemma and its corollary, whose proofs are straightforward.

1.2. **Lemma.** *Let , P, P' be the subset of $\mathbb{F}^{\omega}$ and Q, Q' the subset of $\mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}$. Then*

$$P \subset P' \Rightarrow P^{\perp} \supset P'^{\perp} \quad \textit{and} \quad Q \subset Q' \Rightarrow Q^{\perp} \supset Q'^{\perp} \tag{14}$$

$$P \subset P^{\perp\perp} \quad \textit{and} \quad Q \subset Q^{\perp\perp} \tag{15}$$

$$P^{\perp\perp\perp} = P \quad \textit{and} \quad Q^{\perp\perp\perp} = Q. \tag{16}$$

1.3. **Corollary.** *Consider the sets*

$$\mathcal{L} = \{P^{\perp} | P \subset \mathbb{F}^{\omega}\} \textit{ and } \mathcal{CL} = \{Q^{\perp} | Q \subset \mathbb{F}^{(\omega)}\}.$$

Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{CL} \\ P^{\perp} &\longmapsto P^{\perp\perp} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

is a bijection. Its inverse is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{CL} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \\ Q^{\perp} &\longmapsto Q^{\perp\perp}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

2. Closed subspaces of the vector space of multi-indexed sequences over a field

By considering $\mathbb{F}^{\omega}$ for $\omega = \mathbb{N}^r$, we get the vector space $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$. Using proposition (1.1), $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ is in duality with $\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$ with the scalar product (10) for $\omega = \mathbb{N}^r$. Considering $\mathbb{F}$ as a topological vector space with the discrete topology, $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ is provided with the *topology of pointwise* *convergence*, and becomes topological vector space whose fondamental system of neighborhoods of 0 (0-basis) is given by family of sets $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ where

$$V_n = \{y \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r} \mid y_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } \psi_r(\alpha) < n\} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^* . \qquad (19)$$

with the property

$$V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \supset \cdots \supset V_n \supset V_{n+1} \supset \cdots$$

This topology on $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ is the topology of *pointwise convergence.*

We are now going to investigate the closed subspaces of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$.

2.1. **Theorem.** *An $\mathbb{F}$-vector subspace V of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ is closed if and only if there exists $G \in \mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$ such that*

$$V = G^\perp \qquad (20)$$

Proof. Let G be a non-empty subset of $\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$. We have to show that $G^\perp$ is closed in $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$. Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in $G^\perp$ which converges to $f \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$, with respect to the topology of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$. Fix $x \in G$; for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geqslant N, (f_n - f) \in V_k$, i.e. $(f_n - f)_\alpha = 0$ whenever $\psi_r(\alpha) < k$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{\psi_r(\alpha)<k} x_\alpha f_\alpha + \sum_{\psi_r(\alpha)\geqslant k} x_\alpha f_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\psi_r(\alpha)<k} x_\alpha (f_n)_\alpha + \sum_{\psi_r(\alpha)\geqslant k} x_\alpha f_\alpha \end{aligned} \qquad (21)$$

and

$$\sum_{\psi_r(\alpha)<k} x_\alpha (f_n)_\alpha = 0,$$

we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{\psi_r(\alpha)\geqslant k} x_\alpha f_\alpha .$$

We know that x is with finite support; therefore we can choose k such that $x_\alpha = 0$ for all α verifying $\psi_r(\alpha) \geqslant k$, i.e. $f \in G^\perp$.
Conversely, suppose that V is closed in $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$. We will show that

$$V^{\perp\perp} = \overline{V} = V \qquad (22)$$

It suffices to show the non-trivial inclusion $V^{\perp\perp} \subset V$ (see (15)). Consider the family of generators $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ of V verifying

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda \quad f_\lambda = (f_{\lambda\alpha})_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} \quad f_\lambda \in V \subset \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r} \qquad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda :\ \mathbb{N}^r &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ \alpha &\longmapsto f_{\lambda\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Let $q \in V^{\perp\perp}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $w_n = \psi_r^{-1}(n)$ (see (3)) and G_n the vector subspace of $\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$ of dimension n defined by

$$G_n = \oplus_{\alpha \in w_n} \mathbb{F}\, \delta_\alpha. \tag{25}$$

The set G_n is the subspace generated by $\{\delta_\alpha |\ \alpha \in w_n\}$.
We have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^n &\longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(G_n, \mathbb{F}) \\ x = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in w_n} &\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \varphi : & G_n \to \mathbb{F} \\ & \delta_\alpha \mapsto x_\alpha\ . \end{array} \right. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

For $\beta \in w_n$, we define the element $\gamma_\beta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(G_n, \mathbb{F})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\beta :\ G_n &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ \delta_\alpha &\longmapsto \gamma_\beta(\delta_\alpha) = \delta_{\beta\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{\beta\alpha}$ is the Kronecker's symbol, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^r$.
The family $\{\gamma_\beta \mid \beta \in w_n\}$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-basis of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(G_n, \mathbb{F})$. Therefore, if $\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(G_n, \mathbb{F})$ then $\varphi = \sum_{\beta \in w_n} \varphi_\beta \gamma_\beta$ with $\varphi_\beta \in \mathbb{F}$.
We have the isomorphism :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{F}^n &\longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(G_n, \mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}) \\ y = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in w_n} &\longmapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Phi(y) : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(G_n, & \mathbb{F}) \to \mathbb{F} \\ & \gamma_\alpha \mapsto \Phi(y)(\gamma_\alpha) = y_\alpha. \end{array} \right. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Consider the restrictions

$$f_\lambda|_{G_n} = f_\lambda^{(n)}$$

with

$$f_\lambda^{(n)}(\delta_\alpha) = f_{\lambda\alpha}$$

and

$$q|_{G_n} = q_n.$$

We need the following lemma :

2.2. **Lemma.** $q_n \in \langle (f_\lambda^{(n)})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rangle$.

Proof. We have $q_n \in (\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(G_n, \mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F})$; suppose that $q_n \notin \langle (f_\lambda^{(n)})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \rangle$. Let $\Theta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(G_n, \mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F})$ with $\Theta(f_\lambda^{(n)}) = 0$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\Theta(q_n) = 1$. By the isomorphism (27), there exists $y = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in w_n} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $\Theta(\gamma_\alpha) = y_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in w_n$. Let :

$$g = \sum_{\alpha \in w_n} y_\alpha \delta_\alpha\ \in G_n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda^n(g) = f_{\lambda|Gn}(g) &= f_\lambda(\sum_{\alpha\in w_n} y_\alpha\delta_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in w_n} f_\lambda(y_\alpha\delta_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha\in w_n} y_\alpha f_\lambda(\delta_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha\in w_n} y_\alpha f_\lambda^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Since

$$y_\alpha = \Theta(\gamma_\alpha)$$

for all $\alpha \in w_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha\in w_n} y_\alpha f_\lambda^\alpha &= \sum_{\alpha\in w_n} \Theta(\gamma_\alpha) f_\lambda^\alpha \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in w_n} \Theta(f_\lambda^\alpha\gamma_\alpha) = \Theta(\sum_{\alpha\in w_n} f_\lambda^\alpha\gamma_\alpha) = \Theta(f_\lambda^{(n)}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

We then get $f_\lambda|_{G_n}(g) = f_\lambda^{(n)}(g) = 0$ which proves that $g \in Q^\perp$. But we also have $q|_{G_n}(g) = q_n(g) \neq 0$ and therefore $g \notin Q^\perp$: contradiction. We conclude that necessarily $q_n \in \langle (f_\lambda^{(n)})_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\rangle$. □

Proof of theorem 2.1 (continued). For all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there is then a family $(\mu_\lambda^{(n)})_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ such that

$$q|_{G_n} = q_n = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mu_\lambda^{(n)} f_\lambda^{(n)}. \tag{30}$$

Then the sequence $(q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ converges to q in $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$ with respect to the finite topology. Indeed, let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For all $\alpha \in w_n$, we have

$$q \cdot \delta_\alpha = q_n \cdot \delta_\alpha. \tag{31}$$

Therefore $q - q_n \in V_n$ and

$$k > n \Rightarrow (q - q_n)_k \in V_n, \tag{32}$$

where V_n is defined by the equation (19).
We then proved that $q \in \overline{V}$. Hence $V^{\perp\perp} \subset V$ and we have the equality. By taking $G = V^\perp$, we get $G^\perp = V^{\perp\perp} = V$ and the theorem is proved. □

3. Shift operation and polynomial operator in the shift

Let $r \geqslant 1$ be an integer. For $\rho = 1\ldots, r$, let X_ρ (resp. Y_ρ) be letters (or variables). For $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$ we define X^α (resp. Y^α) by

$$X^\alpha = X_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots X_r^{\alpha_r} \quad (\text{resp. } Y^\alpha = Y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots Y_r^{\alpha_r}). \tag{33}$$

Let $\mathbf{D} = \mathbb{F}[X_1, \ldots, X_r]$ be the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space of the polynomials with the r variables $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ and $\mathbf{A} = \mathbb{F}[[Y_1, \ldots, Y_r]]$ the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space of the formal power series with the r variables $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$. The family $(X^\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r}$ is $\mathbb{F}$-base of $\mathbf{D}$, thus an element of $\mathbf{D}$ can be written uniquely as

$$d(X) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} d_\alpha X^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad d_\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r,$$

where $d_\alpha = 0$ except for a finite number of α. An element $W(Y)$ of $\mathbf{A}$ can be expressed uniquely as

$$W(Y) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} W_\alpha Y^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad d_\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r\,.$$

Therefore, we get the vector spaces isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} = \mathbb{F}[X_1, \dots, X_r] &\cong \mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)} \\ X^\alpha &\longleftrightarrow \delta_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} = \mathbb{F}[[Y_1, \dots, Y_r]] &\cong \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r} \\ \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} W_\alpha Y^\alpha &\longleftrightarrow \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} W_\alpha \delta_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

By these isomorphisms, we may indentify X^α (resp. Y^α) with the element δ_α of $\mathbb{F}^{(\mathbb{N}^r)}$ (resp. of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$).
If $W \in \mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{N}^r}$, we may write $W = (W_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r}$, where $W_\alpha = W(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$. Therefore, we get the following equalities

$$W = (W_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} W_\alpha Y^\alpha = W(Y). \tag{35}$$

Taking $\omega = \mathbb{N}^r$ and using proposition 1.1, we obtain the scalar product

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ &(d(X), W(Y)) \longmapsto \langle d(X), W(Y)\rangle_{\mathbb{F}} = d(X) \cdot W(Y) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} d_\alpha W_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

and part (ii), proposition 1.1 gives the isomorphism

$$\mathbf{A} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{F}),\ W(Y) \longmapsto \langle -, W(Y)\rangle_{\mathbb{F}}. \tag{37}$$

Considering $W \in \mathbf{A}$ as element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{F})$, we have

$$\langle d(X), W\rangle_{\mathbb{F}} = W(d(X)). \tag{38}$$

In other terms, with the identification $\mathbf{A} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{F})$, we may view W as acting on X^α by

$$W(X^\alpha) = W(\alpha) = W_\alpha. \tag{39}$$

Let $\mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{F})$ the category whose object consists of all $\mathbb{F}$-vector spaces and for two objects $E, F \in \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{F})$, the set of morphism from E to F is $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E, F)$, which consists of all linear mappings from E to F. We then have the *covariant functor* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(-, \mathbb{F})$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(-,\mathbb{F}) : \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{F}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{F})\\ E &\longmapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E,\mathbb{F})\\ (f : E \longrightarrow F) &\longmapsto \left\{\begin{array}{rl}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(f,\mathbb{F}) : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(F, & \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E,\mathbb{F})\\ & u \longmapsto u \circ f,\end{array}\right.\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

(see [1]). This leads to the following definition :

3.1. **Definition.** Let $E, F \in \mathrm{Vect}(\mathbb{F})$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(E, F)$. The *adjoint* of f if the linear mapping $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(f, \mathbb{F})$.

Now we are going to look the adjoints of peculiar linear mappings: take $E = F = \mathbf{D}$ and fix $d(X) \in \mathbf{D}$. We get the "multiplication by $d(X)$ ", which is the linear mapping

$$d(X) : \mathbf{D} \longrightarrow \mathbf{D},\ c(X) \longmapsto c(X) \cdot d(X)$$

which we also denoted by $d(X)$. For the case $d(X) = X^{\beta}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^r$. We get the " multiplication by X^{β} ":

$$X^{\beta} : \mathbf{D} \longrightarrow \mathbf{D},\ c(X) \longmapsto c(X) \cdot X^{\beta}.$$

The adjoint of the multiplication by X^{β} is given by the following lemma:

3.2. **Lemma.** *The adjoint of the multiplication by* X^{β}

$$\begin{aligned}X^{\beta} : \mathbf{D} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{D}\\ c(X) &\longmapsto c(X) \cdot X^{\beta},\end{aligned}$$

is the $\mathbb{F}$*-endomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A}\\ W(Y) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} W_{\alpha} Y^{\alpha} &\longmapsto \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^r} W_{\alpha+\beta} Y^{\alpha}.\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Proof. Using (37) gives $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbf{D}, \mathbb{F}) = \mathbf{A}$. Since for all $W \in \mathbf{A}$, the map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(X^{\beta}, \mathbb{F})(W) = W \circ X^{\beta}$ is an element of $\mathbf{A}$ and

$$(W \circ X^{\beta})(\alpha) = W \circ X^{\beta}(X^{\alpha}) = W(X^{\beta} \cdot X^{\alpha}) = W(X^{\alpha+\beta}) = W_{\alpha+\beta} \tag{42}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$ (see (38) and (39)), this completes the proof of (41). □

The adjoint of the multiplication by X^β is the *shift operation*, [2],[3],[4],[5] [6] and [7], and also denoted by X^β. We use symbol "$\circ$ " to mean that actually, X^β operates on a power series. Thus,

$$X^\beta \circ W(Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(X^\beta, \mathbb{F})(W(Y)) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r} W_{\alpha+\beta} Y^\alpha \in \mathbf{A}\,.$$

3.3. **Example.** Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and take $W(Y) = Y^\alpha$. For $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^r$, we have

$$X^\beta \circ Y^\alpha = \begin{cases} Y^{\alpha-\beta} & \text{if} \quad \beta \leqslant_+ \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{43}$$

where $\beta \leqslant_+ \alpha$ means that $\beta_i \leqslant \alpha_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$.

We have the following fundamental property:

$$(X^\alpha \cdot X^\beta) \circ W(Y) = X^\alpha \circ (X^\beta \circ W(Y)) \tag{44}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and $W(Y) \in \mathbf{A}$.

Now consider the general case of the polynomial multiplication by $d(X) \in \mathbf{D}$:

$$d(X) : \mathbf{D} \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}, \quad c(X) \longmapsto c(X) \cdot d(X).$$

If $d(X) = \sum_\beta d_\beta X^\beta$, we may view $d(X)$ as a linear combination of X^β. Taking the adjoint, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(d(X), \mathbb{F}) = \sum_\beta d_\beta \,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(X^\beta, \mathbb{F}),$$

and using (41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(d(X), \mathbb{F})(W(Y)) &= \sum_\beta d_\beta \,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(X^\beta, \mathbb{F})(W(Y)) \\ &= \sum_\alpha (\sum_\beta (d_\beta W_{\alpha+\beta}) Y^\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

We may view this last equation as a generalisation of the shift operation and consider it as an operation of $d(X)$ on $W(Y)$: this is *the polynomial operator in the shift*, see [2],[3],[4],[5] [6] and [7]. We have thus proved the following theorem :

3.4. **Theorem.** *The adjoint of the polynomial multiplication by $d(X)$*

$$\begin{aligned} d(X) : \mathbf{D} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{D} \\ c(X) &\longmapsto c(X) \cdot d(X), \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

is the polynomial operator in the shift, also denoted by $d(X)$ *and defined as*

$$\begin{aligned} d(X) : \mathbf{A} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \\ W(Y) &\longmapsto d(X) \circ W(Y) = \sum_{\alpha} (\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} W_{\alpha+\beta}) Y^{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

This leads to an operation of $\mathbf{D}$ on $\mathbf{A}$, given as follows :

3.5. **Definition.** The multiplication $\circ$ is the operation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{A} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \\ (d(X), W(X)) &\longmapsto d(X) \circ W(Y) \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where

$$d(X) \circ W(Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(d(X), \mathbb{F})(W(Y)) = \sum_{\alpha} (\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} W_{\alpha+\beta}) Y^{\alpha}.$$

Using the fundamental property (44), $\circ$ indeed an external operation of $\mathbf{D}$ on $\mathbf{A}$. Moreover, its has a more interesting properties, whose proofs are left to the reader :

3.6. **Proposition.** *The multiplication* $\circ$ *provides* $\mathbf{A}$ *with a* $\mathbf{D}$*-module structure.*

Now, we are about to make a generalization of 3.5 by taking matrices of polynomials and powers series instead of a single polynomial and a single powers series. For this, we introduce the following notations : $\mathbf{D}^{k,l}$ is the set of polynomial matrices with k lines and l columns, with coefficient in $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{k,l}$ is the set of matrices of formal powers series with k lines and l columns, with coefficient in $\mathbf{A}$. For simplicity, the set of line vectors $\mathbf{D}^{1,k}$ and $\mathbf{D}^{1,l}$ (resp. column vectors $\mathbf{A}^{l,1}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{k,1}$) are denoted by $\mathbf{D}^k$ and $\mathbf{D}^l$ (resp. $\mathbf{A}^k$ and $\mathbf{A}^l$).

3.7. **Corollary.** *Let* $R(X) = (R_{\kappa\lambda}(X)) \in \mathbf{D}^{k,l}$ *where* $R_{ij}(W) \in \mathbf{D}$. *The adjoint of the polynomial matrices multiplication*

$$\begin{aligned} R(X) : \mathbf{D}^k &\longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^l \\ c(X) &\longmapsto c(X) \cdot R(X), \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

again denoted by $R(X)$ *is the* $\mathbf{D}$*-linear mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} R(X) : \mathbf{A}^l &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^k \\ W(Y) &\longmapsto R(X) \circ W(Y) \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where

$$R(X) \circ W(Y) = \begin{pmatrix} R_1(X) \circ W(Y) \\ \vdots \\ R_k(X) \circ W(Y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{l} R_{1\lambda}(X) \circ W(Y) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{\lambda=1}^{l} R_{k\lambda}(X) \circ W(Y) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{51}$$

with $R_\kappa(X) = (R_{\kappa 1}(X), \ldots, R_{\kappa l}(X)) \in \mathbf{D}^l$ *beeing the* κ*-th row of* $R(X)$*, for* $\kappa = 1, \ldots, k$.

The following corollary is immediate :

3.8. **Corollary.** *Le mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} \circ : \mathbf{D}^{k,l} \times \mathbf{A}^l &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^k \\ (R(X), W(Y)) &\longmapsto R(X) \circ W(Y). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

(again denoted by $\circ$*) is* **D***- bilinear.*

Setting $k = l$ in the above corollary, we get the scalar product

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^l \times \mathbf{A}^l &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \\ (d(X), W(Y)) &\longmapsto \langle d(X), W(Y) \rangle_{\mathbf{A}} = d(X) \circ W(Y) \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

(in the sense of proposition 1.1, see [2]).

3.9. **Remark.** We can generalize the proposition 1.1 by taking $\mathbf{D}^l$ and $\mathbf{A}^l$ instead of $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ in order to get another scalar product by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^l \times \mathbf{A}^l &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \\ (d(X), W(Y)) &\longmapsto \langle d(X), W(Y) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}} = (d(X) \circ W(Y))_0 \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

(where $(d(X) \circ W(Y))_0$ is the constant term of $(d(X) \circ W(Y))$). Thus, we can, by analogy to (13), define the corresponding orthonolas. Note that this scalar product coincides with that of the proposition 1.1 when $l = 1$.

The scalar product defined by (54) defines an orthogonal that we also denote by $\perp$. Thus for a subset P (resp. Q) in $\mathbf{D}^l$ (resp.$\mathbf{A}^l$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp &= \{W(Y) \in \mathbf{A}^l \mid p(X) \circ W(Y) = 0 \ \forall p(X) \in P\} \\ Q^\perp &= \{p(X) \in \mathbf{D}^l \mid p(X) \circ W(Y) = 0 \ \forall W(Y) \in Q\}. \end{aligned}$$

These orthogonal are so **D**-modules.

3.10. **Remarks.** (1) Let $\langle P \rangle$ the **D**-submodule of **D** generated by P. Then

$$P^\perp = \langle P \rangle^\perp. \tag{55}$$

(2) For a $\mathbf{D}$-submodule P of $\mathbf{D}^l$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}$-submodule Q of $\mathbf{A}^l$), the orthogonal defined by proposition (53) is also the orthogonal defined by (54).

Now, let us look back at (50). The kernel of the $\mathbf{D}$-linear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} R(X) : \mathbf{A}^l &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^k \\ W(Y) &\longmapsto R(X) \circ W(Y) \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

is the $\mathbf{D}$-module

$$\operatorname{Ker} R(X) = \{W(Y) \in \mathbf{A}^l \,| R(X) \circ W(Y) = 0\}. \tag{57}$$

These modules were given a special name.

3.11. **Definition.** A $\mathbf{D}$-submodule of $\mathbf{A}^l$ of the form $\operatorname{Ker} R(X)$ where $R(X) \in \mathbf{D}^{k,l}$ is called *autoregressive module.*

The next proposition gives characterizes the autoregressive modules.

3.12. **Proposition.** *For a nonempty subset P of $\mathbf{D}^l$, the module $P^\perp$ is an autoregressive. Conversely, an autoregressive module is of the form $P^\perp$, were $P \subset \mathbf{D}^l$ for some nonnegative integer l.*

Proof. According to the Hilbert basis theorem, the submodule $\langle P \rangle$ of $\mathbf{D}^l$ is finitely generated. Hence, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and a polynomial $R(X) = (R_\kappa(X))_{\kappa=1,\ldots,k} \in \mathbf{D}^l$ (with $R_\kappa(X) = (R_{\kappa\lambda}(X))_{\lambda \in [l]}$ for all $\kappa = 1, \ldots, k$) such that

$$\langle P \rangle = \langle R_1(X), \ldots, R_k(X) \rangle, \text{ thus } \langle P \rangle^\perp = \langle R_1(X), \ldots, R_k(X) \rangle^\perp.$$

But, according to (55), we get

$$P^\perp = \langle P \rangle^\perp = \langle R_1(X), \ldots, R_k(X) \rangle^\perp.$$

Thus, finally

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp &= \{W(Y) \in \mathbf{A}^l \,| R_\kappa(X) \circ W(Y) = 0 \ \forall \kappa = 1, \ldots, k\} \\ &= \{W(Y) \in \mathbf{A}^l \,| R(X) \circ W(Y) = 0\} \\ &= \operatorname{Ker} R(X) \quad (\text{see } (57)). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely if $M = \operatorname{Ker} R(X)$ with $R(X) \in \mathbf{D}^{k,l}$ is an autoregressive module, then the above proof shows that $M = P^\perp$, were P is the $\mathbf{D}$-submodule generated by the rows $R_1(X), \ldots, R_k(X)$ of $R(X)$. $\square$

4. Discrete dynamical systems with r shifts

We present here a synthesis of the various definitions of algebraic systems given in [2],[3],[4],[5], [6],[7]. Let $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ be the sets defined from the preceding section and l a nonnegative integer. The set $\mathbf{A}^l$ is provided with the product topology of $\mathbf{A}$ (see (19)).

4.1. **Definition** (Systems). An algebraic dynamical system of $\mathbf{A}^l$ (or simply system) is a closed $\mathbb{F}$-vector subspace S of $\mathbf{A}^l$ which is shift-invariant, i.e

$$X^\alpha \circ S \subset S \quad \text{for all} \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^r .$$

In other terms, S is a closed $\mathbf{D}$-submodule of $\mathbf{A}^l$.

Now, we are going to describe these systems of $\mathbf{A}^l$. For this we introduce some notations: let $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{D})$ be the category of $\mathbf{D}$-modules. For two modules M, N of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{D})$, a morphism $f : M \longrightarrow N$ is an $\mathbf{D}$-homomorphism, thus an element of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(M, N)$. The subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{D})$ whose objets are the finitely generated is denoted by $\mathrm{Modf}(\mathbf{D})$. We will need the following lemma :

4.2. **Lemma** (Finitely generated module, [2]). *Let be M an objet of* $\mathrm{Modf}(\mathbf{D})$. *Then, there exist integers $k, l \geqslant 1$ and a matrix $R(X) \in \mathbf{D}^{k,l}$ such that the following isomorphism of $\mathbf{D}$-modules holds:*

$$\mathbf{D}^l \,/\, \mathbf{D}^k\, R(X) \cong M. \tag{58}$$

The element M of $\mathrm{Modf}(\mathbf{D})$ is then isomorphic to an element of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{D})$ of the form $\mathbf{D}^l\,/P$ where $l \geqslant 1$ and P is a $\mathbf{D}$-submodule of $\mathbf{D}^l$. So, we will have a closer look at these modules.

The following corollary is similar to (53).

4.3. **Corollary** ([2]). *For a $\mathbf{D}$-submodule $P \subset \mathbf{D}^l$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^l\,/\langle P\rangle \times P^\perp &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \\ (\overline{d(X)}, W(Y)) &\longmapsto d(X) \circ W(Y) \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

is again a scalar product. Thus we have the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} P^\perp &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{D}^l\,/\langle P\rangle, \mathbf{A}) \\ W(Y) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \langle -, W(Y)\rangle_{\mathbf{A}} : & \begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}^l\,/\langle P\rangle &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \\ \overline{d(X)} &\longmapsto d(X) \circ W(Y) \end{aligned} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{D})$.

Now we state the main theorem for describing systems.

4.4. **Theorem** ([2],[3],[4],[5] [6] and [7]). *A* $\mathbf{D}$*-submodule* S *of* $\mathbf{A}^l$ *is a system if and only if it is autoregressive, i.e. there exists* $R(X) \in \mathbf{D}^{k,l}$ *such that*

$$S = \operatorname{Ker} R(X) = P^{\perp}, \ \ R(X) \in \mathbb{F}^{k,l}, \tag{61}$$

(for some $P \in \operatorname{Modf}(\mathbf{D})$*).*

Proof. The proof that $\operatorname{Ker} R(X) = P^{\perp}$ is closed in $\mathbf{A}^l$ is similar to that we have done with theorem 2.1. We need an 0-basis for $\mathbf{A}^l$ instead of $\mathbf{A}$.
Conversely, S being an $\mathbf{D}$-submodule of $\mathbf{A}^l$, its orthogonal $P = S^{\perp}$ in the sense of (54) and (53) are the same (see remarks (3.10)). But P being a $\mathbf{D}$-module, thus it's orthogonal $P^{\perp} = S^{\perp\perp}$ in the sense of (54) and (53) are also the same. Now $S^{\perp\perp}$ is also the bi-orthogonal of S in the sense of (54), thus $S^{\perp\perp} = \overline{S}$ by using a proof similar to that of the theorem (2.1). Since S is closed, $S = \overline{S} = P^{\perp}$ and S is autoregressive. □

4.5. **Corollary** ([2]). *A system* S *is also on the form*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(M, \mathbf{A}) \tag{62}$$

where $M \in \operatorname{Modf}(\mathbf{D})$*.*

Proof. Let $S = P^{\perp}$ be an system where $P \in \mathcal{M}_l$. By (60), we can write

$$S = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(\mathbf{D}^l / \langle P \rangle, \mathbf{A})$$

with $\mathbf{D}^l / \langle P \rangle = M \in \operatorname{Modf}(D)$. Conversely, if $M \in \operatorname{Modf}(\mathbf{D})$, then, according to lemma 4.2, there exists $P \in \operatorname{Modf}(\mathbf{D})$ such that we can write $M = \mathbf{D}^l / P$. Then, using again (60), we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(M, \mathbf{A}) = P^{\perp}$ and it is a system. □

Conclusion

We have shown that tools from commutative algebra can be fruitfully used to describe the basic interesting properties of dynamical systems. These properties (such as shift invariance) have arisen from concrete applications fields such as engineering and signal processing. There is a correspondence between modules and algebraic systems and this correspondence must be further studied.

Acknowledgements

My special thanks go to Ulrich Matthey, CEO of Kontecxt in Essen, Germany who has partially financed my research projects, with the “Sur-Place” Grant.

References

[1] S. M. Lane, *Categories for working Mathematicians*, Springer, 1971.

[2] U. Oberst, *Multidimensional constant linear Systems*, Acta Appl. Math. **20** (1990), 1–175.

[3] J. C. Willems, *From time Series to linear Systems-Part I-Finite dimensional linear invariants Systems*, Automatica **22** (1986), 561–580.

[4] J. C. Willems, *Models for Dynamics*, Dynamic Reported **2** (1989), 171–296.

[5] J. C. Willems, *Closed subspaces, polynomial Operators in the Shift, and ARMA Representations*, Appl. Math. Lett. Vol. 4, No. 3, (1991), 15–19.

[6] J. C. Willems, *Paradigms and Puzzles in the Theory of dynamical Systems*, IEEE. Transanction on Automatic Control, Vol. 36, No. 3, (1991), 259–294.

[7] J. C. Willems, *The behavioral Approach to Modeling and Control*, European Embedded Control Institute, Graduate School on Control, Spring 2010.

[8] R. Andriamifidisoa, *Systèmes dynamiques linéaires discrets et Codes algébriques*, Thèse de Doctorat de Troisième Cycle, Université d'Antananarivo, 2002.